

1

Why Are We Here?







UT Corporate Enforcement – Sept 15, 2022

"MCAS" Wire Fraud Theory

- Boeing launched the MAX to compete with fuel efficient Airbus NEO
- Design goal: Still a "737" and no need for significant "difference training" for certified 737 pilots.
- "MCAS" code added for "certification" it was intended to operate "outside" normal flight, not seen during a normal flight. Mark asked FAA to remove MCAS from training.
- March 2016: "MCAS" changed Gov't says that Forkner learned about the change and should have told FAA; Forkner said he did not learn about the change (**& FAA knew & it was immaterial**).
- Mark defrauded Boeing's customers by not telling them that he hid information from the FAA.

UT Corporate Enforcement – Sept 15, 2022

Six Counts

Counts 1-2: False statement about an airplane "part" (18 U.S.C. § 38)

Counts 3-6: Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1343)

Court granted motion to dismiss counts 1-2: MCAS is not a "part", which § 38 defines as:

"frame, assembly, component, appliance, engine, propeller, material, part, spare part, piece, section, or related or auxiliary equipment"

3

Gov't Evidence that Mark Knew MCAS Changed: "The Chat"

Mark Forkner 6:50 PM:

Oh shocker alerT! MCAS is now active down to M .2 It's running rampant in the sim on me at least that's what Vince thinks is happening

[....]

Mark Forkner 6:51 PM:

so I basically lied to the regulators (unknowingly)



UT Corporate Enforcement – Sept 15, 2022

"The Phone Call"



UT Corporate Enforcement – Sept 15, 2022

5





Also available as part of the eCourse Hooked on CLE: December 2022

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 8th Annual Government Enforcement Institute session "Tales from Trial: The Boeing Case is Shot Down"