

FINDINGS OF FACT: Critical, Underused Advocacy Tool

CATHERINE GREENE BURNETT

© 2023

1

Georgetown Law
School Writing
Center
State Prosecuting
Attorney's Office
Texas Court of
Criminal Appeals
former writ staff –
Michael Falkenberg
and Michael
Stauffacher

David Keltner
Robert Dubose
Andrea Jacobs
Baldwin Chin

It takes a
village
thanks to

2

STANDARDS OF REVIEW

3

Standards of
Review:
Potential Role in
Crafting
Proposed
Findings

Can be a game changer –
when advocate uses
strategically, depending on
specific case

Differing standards are
function of discrete
institutional roles between
trial and appellate courts

4



Strategy

Reframe

Recast

Move left or right on the sliding scale to a more favorable standard for your case theory

DEFERENCE CONTINUUM

No

De Novo

Questions
of Law

Moderate

Abuse of
Discretion

Credibility

Great

Clearly
Erroneous

Questions
of Fact

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Findings of Fact: Critical, Underused Advisory Tool

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2023 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session
"Drafting Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law"