



C.B. BURNS KEMP SMITH LLP

CHRISTOPHER BENOIT
THE LAW OFFICE OF LYNN COYLE, PLLC AND
TEXAS RIOGRANDE LEGAL AID, INC.

State Law Update: Texas and Beyond

4

Texas Supreme Court Cases



- Mosley v. Tex. Health & Human Servs. (Tex. May 3, 2019)
 - O Employee was listed in the Employee Misconduct Registry as a result of reportable conduct in a group home
 - O Employee requested hearing, and ALJ sustained the listing
 - O Texas Supreme Court: to have judicial review of an ALJ decision/order, the employee must first file a motion for rehearing with the ALJ
 - O And an Agency's misrepresentation of the proper procedures to seek judicial review could violate the employee's due process rights
 - O The remedy for no due process is due process

Texas Supreme Court Cases



- Mercedes-Benz v. Carduco, Inc. (Tex. Feb. 22, 2019), p.39
 - O Not an employment case; claim of fraudulent inducement
 - O Company (Carduco) claimed it was orally promised it could move a Mercedes dealership it wanted to acquire from Harlingen to McAllen
 - O Asset Purchase Agreement signed by Carduco specifically limited operations to Harlingen
 - O Mercedes denied Carduco's request to relocate dealership
 - O Jury awarded Carduco over \$112 million in damages on fraud claims
 - Texas Supreme Court: When oral representations are expressly contradicted by written terms of subsequent agreement, a party cannot justifiedly rely upon the oral representations for purposes of fraud claism

2

Texas Supreme Court Cases



- Glassdoor v. Andra Group (Tex. 2019), p. 40
 - O Former or current employees of Andra posted negative reviews of Andra on Glassdoor site
 - O Andra sought presuit deposition to obtain identity of reviewers
 - O Glassdoor opposed and filed TCPA Motion to Dismiss
 - O Trial court denied motion but limited depositions to 2 posts from 2014
 - O Texas Supreme Court: Statute of limitations (one year) had run on defamation suit, so not proper to allow presuit discovery
 - O Also, there is no "republication" for defamation purposes each time a site grants access to a site user. The publication occurred the first time the reviews were posted.

Texas Supreme Court Cases



- Rohrmoos Venture v. UTSW DVA Healthcare (Tex. April 26, 2019)
 - O Not employment case; attorneys' fees
 - O A lease agreement between the parties provided for fee-shifting where the prevailing party would be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees from the nonprevailing party
 - O UTSW was the plaintiff and no damages were awarded to it but it obtained a take-nothing judgment on a counterclaim against it
 - O The jury awarded UTSW \$800,000 in attorneys' fees for trial work and conditional fees for appeals; amount of dispute was \$300,000
 - O Texas Supreme Court: Testimony by UTSW lawyer of his hourly rate, how much a reasonable amount of hours for the case would be, and why his request was so much higher was insufficient because did not detail time actually spent

5

Age Discrimination



- Bell Helicopter v. Burnett (Fort Worth 2018), p. 1
 - O Burnett was terminated 16 days after he turned 40
 - O Bell argued that decision was made while Burnett was under 40 but carried out after his birthday
 - O Fort Worth Court: A plaintiff must show that his employer discriminated against him because of age (not over 40) and that the plaintiff was at least 40 when the ultimate act of discrimination occurred
 - O Texas Supreme Court has requested full briefing





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: State Employment Law Update: Texas and Beyond

Also available as part of the eCourse 2019 Labor and Employment Law eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 26^{th} Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference session "State Employment Law Update: Texas and Beyond"