

# Complex Organizational Structures/Hybrids

January 21, 2021



1

## Disclaimer

---

- This presentation is provided solely for the purposes of enhancing knowledge on tax matters; it does not take into account any specific taxpayer's facts and circumstances. It is not intended, and should not be relied upon, as tax, accounting, or legal advice. Ernst & Young LLP expressly disclaims any liability in connection with the use of this presentation or its contents by any third party.
- Neither EY nor any member firm thereof shall bear any responsibility whatsoever for the content, accuracy, or security of any third-party websites that are linked (by way of hyperlink or otherwise) in this presentation.
- The views expressed by the presenters are not necessarily those of Ernst & Young LLP or other associated company or organization



2

## Agenda and objectives

Current trends, opportunities and challenges

---

- Impact-oriented entities
- Tandem structures

## Impact-oriented entities

---

- Donor-advised funds (DAFs)
- Private foundations (PFs)
- Public charities (PCs)
- Social welfare organizations (SWs)
- Limited liability companies (LLCs)

## Donor-advised funds IRC 501(c)(3)

---

- Governance and liability
  - Bookkeeping entry or segregated account held by a sponsoring organization (i.e., not an entity)
  - Only advisory rights, no legal control
  - No ability to conduct activities other than making grants and investments
- Taxation
  - Exempt from income taxes (other than unrelated business taxable income (UBTI))
  - Contributions to DAF may generate income tax deductions
  - No gift taxes on contributions/potential estate tax deductions
  - Can hold illiquid assets, but limited ability to hold interests in operating businesses
- Disclosure
  - Identity of contributors redacted (although some states seek disclosure)
  - Grants and investments disclosed on sponsoring organization's Form 990 (intermingled with all other DAFs administered by the sponsoring organization)
- Permissible activities
  - Must be used for charitable purposes (no lobbying/political activity)
  - Not suitable for operational activity
- Regulatory oversight
  - Excise tax rules prevent donor benefits (no de minimis exceptions)
  - Uniform Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act (UPMIFA) may limit investment flexibility



## Private foundations IRC 501(c)(3)

---

- Governance and liability
  - Separate legal entity
  - No equity ownership
  - Designed to survive into perpetuity with endowment
- Taxation
  - Subject to 1.39% investment income tax (plus tax on UBTI)
  - Contributions to PF may generate income tax deductions (less generous than DAF and PC)
  - No gift taxes on contributions/potential estate tax deductions
  - Can hold illiquid assets, but limited ability to hold interests in operating businesses
- Disclosure
  - Contributors disclosed on Form 990-PF
  - Grants and investments disclosed on Form 990-PF
- Permissible activities
  - Must be used for charitable purposes (no lobbying/political activity)
  - May conduct operations
- Regulatory oversight
  - Complex excise tax rules limit self-dealing, investments, business ownership, grants, etc.
  - Compensation limits
  - State law generally subjects assets to perpetual charitable trust (i.e., assets must forever be used for charitable purposes)
  - UPMIFA may limit investment flexibility



Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Complex Organizational Structures/Hybrids

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2021 Nonprofit Organizations eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  
38<sup>th</sup> Annual Nonprofit Organizations Institute session  
"Complex Organizational Structures"