# Exactions and Proportionality: Trends in Dedication Requirements

Kimberley Mickelson, AICP
Sr. Ass't City Attorney
City of Houston
Kim.mickelson@houstontx.gov

1

### What is an "exaction"?

- An exaction is a concept in real property law, where a condition for development is imposed on a parcel of land that requires the developer to mitigate anticipated negative impacts of the development. This is in the form of dedication of land, payment of a fee-in-lieu, or construction of improvements—or a combination thereof.
- Impact fees are direct payments to cities, based on engineering calculations. Parks are not included in the definition in the Texas Impact Fee Statute, Ch. 395, Tex. Local Gov't Code.

## What is a "taking" of private property?

- Types of takings:
  - Physical
  - Regulatory
  - Per se
  - Temporary
  - Eminent Domain/Condemnation

3

#### The Constitution

- The 5th Amendment: "No person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation."
- Direct takings (Eminent Domain)
  - Government takes private property for public use
  - requires the payment of just compensation--
    - Except when it doesn't (exactions that meet the constitutional test).
- Indirect taking (Inverse Condemnation)
  - Governmental regulation or action "goes too far" and
  - Thus this may become a "taking" of property rights without compensation have been paid.

## Park Dedication—Texas Supreme Court

City of College Station v. Turtle Rock Development Corp., 680 S.W.2d 802 (Tex. 1984)

- Parks Master Plan
  - Analysis of need based on comp plan and national parks standards, census data, cost of land for park purposes, and need generated by new residents.
  - Applied going forward—funds not to be used for operations and maintenance or improvements in existing parks.
- Fee-in-lieu-of option
- Credit for private amenities on-site (e.g., Multi-family uses)
- Parks sectors, separate accounting, refund option.
- "...necessitated by and attributable to..."

5

### Takings Cases—Exactions—US Supreme Ct.

- Nollan v. California Coastal Commission (1987)
  - Property owner sought permit to demolish small beach home and build a new, larger one.
  - Coastal Commission required a public access easement adjacent to new house as a condition of the building permit for the residence.
  - Established "rational nexus" test.
  - If the government wants property or a fee-in-lieu-of, it must be rationally related to the permit being requested.





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Exactions and Proportionality: Trends in Dedication Requirements

Also available as part of the eCourse 2023 Land Use eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  $27^{th}$  Annual Land Use Conference session "Parkland Dedications and More"