



Holly Taylor
Travis County District Attorney's Office

Introduction

1963 - Brady v. Maryland

2014 - Michael Morton Act

2021 - Watkins v. State

Recent cases



2



3

Brady v. Maryland, 1963

- Brady & Boblit convicted of murder & sentenced to death
- Brady testified & admitted participating but claimed Boblit killed V
- Brady's counsel had asked for Boblit's extrajudicial statements
- Boblit's statement admitting he killed V was withheld

A

3

Brady & Progeny

- Held: A prosecutor has a duty to disclose evidence favorable to the defendant upon request
- Due process violation occurs if withheld evidence is "material" to either guilt or punishment—irrespective of good faith or bad faith of prosecution
- "Material": there is a reasonable probability that had the evidence been disclosed, the result of the proceeding would have been different

5

Kyles v. Whitley, 1995

- Prosecutors "have a duty to learn of any evidence favorable to the defense that is known to others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police"
- No difference between exculpatory & impeachment evidence for *Brady* purposes (*Bagley*)

6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Beyond "If you have to ask...": Understanding Brady and the Michael Morton Act in 2023

Also available as part of the eCourse 2023 Robert O. Dawson eConference on Criminal Appeals

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2023 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session "Beyond "If you have to ask...": Understanding *Brady* and the Michael Morton Act in 2023"