# **Presented:**

August, 2024

# UT CAR CRASH SEMINAR

Austin, Texas

# IMPORTANT CASES IN THE PROSECUTION AND DEFENSE OF UM / UIM CASES

Thomas A. Herald

Thomas A. Herald THOMAS A. HERALD, PC 1038 S. Elm Street Carrollton, TX 75006

Tom@TAHeraldPC.com (214) 432-2800 Telephone (214) 432-2866 Fax Email: Tom@TAHeraldPC.com

I.	RULES OF CONSTRUCTION FOR CONSTRUING INSURANCE POLICIES	1
	A. GENERAL RULES	1
	B. PLAIN LANGUAGE	
	C. AMBIGUITY	
	D. INTERPRETATION OF EXCLUSIONARY CLAUSES	1
	E. SEVERABILITY CLAUSES	
II.	COVERAGE ISSUES	2
	A. EIGHT CORNERS RULE	2
	B. EXCEPTIONS TO THE EIGHT CORNERS RULE	
	C. WHEN COVERAGE IS DENIED	
	D. WHO IS COVERED?	
	E. MEMBER OF THE HOUSEHOLD	
	F. <b>DEFINITION OF UNINSURED VEHICLE</b>	5
	G. VEHICLES OWNED BY OR FURNISHED TO OR AVAILABLE FOR USE	6
	H. WHO IS AN UNINSURED MOTORIST?	
	I. NAMED DRIVER POLICIES	
	J. DEFINITION OF "AUTO ACCIDENT"	8
	K. TYPES OF ACCIDENTS	9
	L. INJURIES OCCURRED WHILE USING A MOTOR VEHICLE	13
	M. PHYSICAL CONTACT	15
	N. BODILY INJURY	
	O. PROPERTY DAMAGE	20
	P. "OTHER INSURANCE" CLAUSES	20
III.	EXCLUSIONS & CONDITIONS PRECEDENT	21
	A. VEHICLES THAT DO NOT QUALIFY AS AN UNINSURED VEHICLES	21
	B. VEHICLES FURNISHED FOR THE REGULAR USE	23
	C. EXCLUDED DRIVERS	. 24
	D. FAMILY MEMBER EXCLUSION	
	E. PERMISSIVE DRIVERS AND OMNIBUS INSUREDS	25
	F. FELLOW EMPLOYEE EXCLUSION	25
IV.	DUTIES OF THE INSURED	26
	A. DUTY TO LIST VEHICLES	26
	B. DUTY TO COOPERATE	
	C. DUTY TO GIVE NOTICE OF NEW VEHICLE	
	D. DUTY TO GIVE NOTICE OF CLAIM	
	E. DUTY TO OBTAIN CONSENT TO SETTLE	
	F. DUTY TO SUBMIT TO MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS	
	G. DUTY TO SUBMIT TO EXAMINATIONS UNDER OATH (EUO's)	
V.	COVERAGES REQUIRED	30
	A. UM/UIM COVERAGE REQUIRED	
	B. UM/UIM COVERAGE MUST BE OFFERED IN THE AMOUNTS DESIRED	
	C. DID COVER LCE	. 21

VI.	PIP & UM/UIM REJECTIONS	32
	A. LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION	32
	B. THE PIP AND UM/UIM REJECTIONS MUST BE IN WRITING	
	C. FORM OF THE PIP AND UM/UIM REJECTIONS	
	D. BURDEN OF PROOF	
	E. EXCEPTIONS.	
	F. PERPETUAL RENEWALS	
	G. NOTICE OF CLAIM REQUIREMENTS	
	H. INSURER'S BURDEN TO SHOW PREJUDICE	
	I. ASSIGNMENTS OF BENEFITS – PIP CLAIMS	
	J. PIP OFFSETS PERMITTED TO PREVENT A DOUBLE RECOVERY	
	K. PIP OFFSETS AND COLLATERAL SOURCES	
		20
VII.	CANCELLATION OF THE POLICY	36
, 11,		
VIII.	STACKING COVERAGES	36
	A. GENERAL RULE	
	B. EXCEPTIONS	
	C. COMPANY CARS: COVERAGE WHILE OCCUPYING A	31
	VEHICLE SUPPLIED FOR THE REGULAR USE	37
	VEHICLE SUITELED FOR THE REGULAR USE	31
IX.	OTHER INSURANCE CLAUSE: PRIORITIES OF COVERAGE & MULTIPLE	F.
171.	POLICIES	
	A. POLICY LANGUAGE	
	B. NON-OWNED AUTOS	37
	C. CASES INVOLVING NON-STANDARD INSURANCE POLICIES	
	D. OFFSETS & CREDITS ON UM/UIM CLAIMS	
	E. WORKERS' COMP BENEFITS	
	F. TORTFEASOR IS NOT ENTITLED TO A CREDIT FOR UM/UIM BENEFITS	
	G. SETTLEMENTS FOR LESS THAN POLICY LIMITS	
	H. REQUIRING THE INSURED TO SIGN A RELEASE	42
v	DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON UM/UIM CLAIMS	42
X.		
	A. WHETHER UIM COVERAGE IS EXCESS OR REDUCTION	
	B. PURE UM/UIM CLAIMS	
	1) <b>BODILY INJURY DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS</b>	
	2) MEDICAL EXPENSES	
	3) PROPERTY DAMAGES	44
	4) PUNITIVE DAMAGES ARE NOT RECOVERABBLE ON	
	PURE UM/UIM CLAIM	47
	5) PRE-JUDGMENT AND POST-JUDGMENT INTEREST	48
	6) COURT COSTS	49
	7) ATTORNEY'S FEES	49
	a. THE HISTORICAL FIGHT FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES	49
	b. PRE-BRAINARD CASES PERMITTING RECOVERY OF	
	ATTORNEY'S FEES	50
	c. PRE-BRAINARD CASES DISALLOWING RECOVERY OF	
	ATTORNEY'S FEES	51
	d. POST-BRAINARD RECOVERY OF ATTORNEY'S FEES	52
	e DEFENSES TO CLAIMS OF ATTORNEY'S FEES	53

A. Brainard v. Trinity Universal Insurance Company, 216 S.W.3d 809 (Tex. 2006)	XI.	BRAINARD, NORRIS & NICKERSON TRILOGY OF CASES	53
B. State Farm Mut. Ins. Co. v. Norris, 216 S.W.3d 819 (Tex. 2006)		A. Brainard v. Trinity Universal Insurance Company, 216 S.W.3d 809 (Tex. 2006)	55
C. State Farm Mut. Ins. Co. v. Nickerson, 216 S.W.3d 823 (Tex. 2006)       56         XII. MAKING A CLAIM       57         A. NOTICE OF CLAIM       57         B. TIME LIMTS FOR GIVING NOTICE OF CLAIM       57         XIII. BAD FAITH. WHAT IS IT?       57         A. EVOLVING STANDARDS FOR WHAT CONSTITUTES "BAD FAITH"       57         B. POST-MENCHACA BAD FAITH – INTERPRETING THE 5 NEW RULES       62         1. GENERAL RULE       62         2. THE ENTITLED-TO-BENEFITS RULE       62         3. THE BENEFITS-LOSS RULE       62         4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE       62         5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE       62         C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING       62         D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT       63         E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71			
A. NOTICE OF CLAIM			
A. NOTICE OF CLAIM	XII.	MAKING A CLAIM	57
B. TIME LIMTS FOR GIVING NOTICE OF CLAIM		A NOTICE OF CLAIM	57
A. EVOLVING STANDARDS FOR WHAT CONSTITUTES "BAD FAITH" 57 B. POST-MENCHACA BAD FAITH – INTERPRETING THE 5 NEW RULES 62 1. GENERAL RULE 62 2. THE ENTITLED-TO-BENEFITS RULE 62 3. THE BENEFITS-LOS9 RULE 62 4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE 62 5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE 62 C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING 62 D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT 63 E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH 64 F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES 67 G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS 67 H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS 68 I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS 69 A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS 69 B. MENTAL ANGUISH 69 C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES 71 D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES 71 E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 71 G. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 72 H. EQUITABLE AND JUST 73  XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS 74 A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER \$541.060 Tex.Ins.Code 74 B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 83  XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 84			
B. POST-MENCHACA BAD FAITH – INTERPRETING THE 5 NEW RULES  1. GENERAL RULE 2. THE ENTITLED-TO-BENEFITS RULE 3. THE BENEFITS-LOS9 RULE 4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE 5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE 62 C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING 63 E. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT 63 E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH 64 F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES 67 G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS 68 I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS 68 XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS 69 A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS 69 B. MENTAL ANGUISH 69 C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES 71 D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 71 G. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 72 H. EQUITABLE AND JUST 73 XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS 74 A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code 75 B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 78 XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 76	XIII.	BAD FAITH, WHAT IS IT?	57
B. POST-MENCHACA BAD FAITH – INTERPRETING THE 5 NEW RULES  1. GENERAL RULE 2. THE ENTITLED-TO-BENEFITS RULE 3. THE BENEFITS-LOS9 RULE 4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE 5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE 62 C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING 63 E. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT 63 E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH 64 F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES 67 G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS 68 I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS 68 XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS 69 A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS 69 B. MENTAL ANGUISH 69 C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES 71 D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 71 G. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 72 H. EQUITABLE AND JUST 73 XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS 74 A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code 75 B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 78 XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 76		A FVOLVING STANDARDS FOR WHAT CONSTITUTES "RAD FAITH"	57
2. THE ENTITLED-TO-BENEFITS RULE       62         3. THE BENEFITS-LOS9 RULE       62         4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE       62         5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE       62         C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING       62         D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT       63         E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins. Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. S			
3. THE BENEFITS-LOS9 RULE       62         4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE       62         5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE       62         C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING       62         D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT       63         E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER \$541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83		1. General Rule	62
4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE       62         5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE       62         C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING       62         D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT       63         E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §\$41.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84		2. The Entitled-to-Benefits Rule	62
5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE       62         C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING       62         D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT       63         E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       71         G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER \$541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83			62
5. THE NO-RECOVERY RULE       62         C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING       62         D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT       63         E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       71         G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER \$541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83		4. THE INDEPENDENT-INJURY RULE	62
D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT       63         E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84			62
E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH       64         F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84		C. SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING	62
F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES       67         G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84		D. EXAMPLES OF BAD FAITH CONDUCT	63
G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84		E. EXAMPLES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE NOT BAD FAITH	64
G. POTENTIAL BARS TO PURSUING BAD FAITH CLAIMS       67         H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       68         I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84		F. UNRESOLVED ISSUES	67
I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       71         G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84			67
I. 541 CLAIMS FOR BAD FAITH & PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS       68         XIV. DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS       69         A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       71         G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84		H. EXPERT WITNESSES ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS	68
A. ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS       69         B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       71         G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84			
B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       71         G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84	XIV.	DAMAGES RECOVERABLE ON BAD FAITH CLAIMS	69
B. MENTAL ANGUISH       69         C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES       71         D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES       71         E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE       71         F. ATTORNEY'S FEES       71         G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES       72         H. EQUITABLE AND JUST       73         XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS       74         A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code       74         B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542       76         C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND       83         XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS       84		A ACTUAL DAMAGES UP TO THE POLICY LIMITS	69
C. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES 71 D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES 71 E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 71 G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES 72 H. EQUITABLE AND JUST 73  XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS 74 A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code 74 B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 83			
D. PUNITIVE DAMAGES 71 E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 71 G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES 72 H. EQUITABLE AND JUST 73  XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS 74 A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code 74 B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 83  XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 84			
E. STANDARDS OF PROOF: PRODUCING CAUSE 71 F. ATTORNEY'S FEES 71 G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES 72 H. EQUITABLE AND JUST 73  XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS 74 A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code 74 B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 83  XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 84			
F. ATTORNEY'S FEES			
G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES H. EQUITABLE AND JUST 73  XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 83  XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 84			71
H. EQUITABLE AND JUST		G. STANDARDS OF PROOF TO RECOVER ATTORNEY'S FEES	72
XV. STATUTORY BAD FAITH CLAIMS 74 A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code 74 B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 83  XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 84		H. EQUITABLE AND JUST	
A. INSURANCE CODE CLAIMS UNDER §541.060 Tex.Ins.Code	XV.		74
B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS VIOLATIONS UNDER CHAPTER 542 76 C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND 83  XVI. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS 84			
C. FAILURE TO SETTLE OR TO DEFEND			
	XVI.	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON FIRST PARTY CLAIMS	84
A. I (M) I=D ( AI   MA ( I   M ) ( I   M ) ( I   M ) ( I   M ) ( I   M ) ( I   M ) ( I   M ) ( I   M ) ( I   M )		A. POST-BRAINARD STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS ON UM/UIM CLAIMS	

1	1) PURE UM/UIM CLAIMS	84
2	2) COMMON LAW BAD FAITH CLAIMS	85
3	S) DTPA CLAIMS	85
4	insurance code claims	85
VVIII CA	AUSES OF ACTION FOR UM/UIM CLAIMS	85
	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION	85
	STAND-ALONE 541 CLAIM	85
C. <i>1</i>	EXHAUSTION DOCTRINE	87
XVIII. UN	NIFORM DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS ACT	87
A. 7	THE STATUTE	87
	ATTORNEY'S FEES ON DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTIONS	88
	CASES ADDRESSING THE USE OF DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS	00
	FOR UM/UIM CLAIMS	89
	PLEADING REQUIREMENTS FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTIONS .	
XIX. LA	AWSUITS AGAINST THE ADJUSTER	91
Δ 1	LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR SUING THE ADJUSTER	91
	EXCEPTIONS	91
	OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST THE ADJUSTER	92
	PROHIBITED CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST THE ADJUSTER	92
	THIRD PARTIES THAT ARE NOT CONSIDERED "PERSONS" OR	92
	DJUSTERS" UNDER THE CODE	02
A	DJUSTERS UNDER THE CODE	92
XX PI	LEADING REQUIREMENTS	93
	RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL	
	"BODILY INJURY" MUST BE PLED AND PROVEN, IT IS NOT INFERRED	
C. 1	MOTIONS TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO PLEAD A CLAIM	94
XXI. PR	RE-TRIAL ISSUES	95
A. J	VENUE	95
В. 3	SEVERANCE/SEPARATE TRIALS & ABATEMENT	96
C. <b>(</b>	CONDITIONS PRECEDENT	10:
D. <b>9</b>	SUFFICIENCY OF PLEADINGS	105
E. <i>1</i>	REMOVAL	106
XXII. <b>DI</b>	SCOVERY	113
Δ	SCOPE OF DISCOVERY	113
		113
	DISCOVERY OF CLAIMS FILES	113
	CLAIMS OF TRADE SECRETS	
	DEPOSING THE EUO ATTORNEY	
	DEPOSING THE ADJUSTERDEPOSING CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVES ON UM/UIM CLAIMS	11:
	BAD FAITH DISCOVERY DISCOVERY REGARDING ATTORNEY'S FEES	
1 1	(11.31 11V C R 1 K C 174 K 111   VIT 4 I 111   K   V C 1 ' \	1 1 7

XXIII. 7	FRIAL ISSUES	119
A.	NOT NECESSARY TO SUE THE TORTFEASOR	119
B.	CONSENT TO BE BOUND	119
	DEFAULT JUDGMENTS	120
D.	TRIAL AMENDMENTS SHOULD BE PERMITTED TO ASSERT	
		120
	CORRECT PARTIES TO A UM/UIM TRIAL	
	BURDEN OF PROOF TO PROVE THE POLICY	
	ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OF POLICY LIMITS	
	THE CORPORATE REPRESENTIVE AS A TRIAL WITNESS	
	ADMISSIBILITY OF INTOXICATION OF THE UM/UIM DRIVER	122
J.	ADMISSIBILITY OF OTHER ACCIDENTS & OTHER HEALTH	
	CONDITIONS	122
	THE CHARGE	122
L.	MOTIONS FOR NEW TRIAL	122
VVIII	ACCIONMENT OF DENEFITS	102
	ASSIGNMENT OF BENEFITS	
	SETTLEMENT CHECKS & ASSIGNMENTS	_
В.	APPLICATION OF PAID OR INCURRED STATUTE TO PIP CLAIMS	123
XXV. I	LIENS & SUBROGATION CLAIMS ON PIP AND UM/UIM CLAIMS	123
A.	EQUITABLE SUBROGATION	123
В.	COMMON FUND DOCTRINE	123
C.	MEDICARE AND MEDICAID LIENS	124
	HEALTH INSURANCE LIENS	
E.	WORKER'S COMPENSATION LIENS	125
	CHILD SUPPORT LIENS	
	HOSPITAL LIENS	
H.	ANTI-SUBROGATION RULE	129
XXVI.	RECENT CASES	130
A.	ATTORNEYS FEES ON DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTIONS	130
	1. El Dorado Homeowner's Assoc., Inc. v. Clough, 2024 WL 20170 (Tex.App.	.—Dallas
	2024, no pet.).	
	2. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Valdez, 2024 Tex. App. LEXIS 713 (Tex.A)	pp. – San
	Antonio 2024, pet. filed).	
	3. Farmers Texas County Mut. Ins. Co. v. Barr, 2024 WL 2340792 (Tex	. App.—
	Beaumont 2024, no pet.)	
	4. Montgomery v. State Farm Lloyds, 2024 WL 2369415 (5 <sup>TH</sup> Cir. 2024)	
	5. Colunga v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 2024 Tex.App. LEXIS 4404 (T	ex.App
	San Antonio 2024, no pet.)	
B.	SEVERANCE	131
	1. In re State FarM Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. 2023 WL 5604142 (Tex.App Dal	
	orig. proc.) (pet granted) (oral argument set for October 3, 2024)	

C.	RE	<i>MOVAL</i>
	1.	Zavala v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., SA-23-CV-01372-XR, 2024 WL
		51028, at * 4 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 3, 2024)
	2.	Werder v Allstate Fire and Casualty Ins. Co., F. Supp. 3d, No. 3:24—cv-130-
		BN, 2024 WL 1810474 (N.D. Tex. Apr. 25 2024).
D.	DE	EPOSING AND CALLING THE CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE
	AS	** A WITNESS 133
	1.	In re State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. and Borowec, 2023 WL 7984390, at * 1
		(Tex.App.—Dallas Nov. 17, 2023, orig. proceeding).
	2.	In re State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. 2023 WL 5604142 (Tex.App Dallas 2023,
		orig. proc.) (pet granted) (oral argument set for October 3, 2024)
	3.	In re State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 2024 WL 1591032 (Tex.App.—Fort Worth
		2024, orig. proceeding)
	4.	In re State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 2024 WL 1749942 (Tex.App.—Fort Worth
		2024, orig. proceeding) \
	5.	In re Hartford Cas. Ins. Co., 2023 WL 456997 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.]
		2023, orig. proceeding).

#### I. RULES OF CONSTRUCTION FOR CONSTRUING INSURANCE POLICIES

#### A. General Rules:

- 1. Same Rules of Construction as Any Contract.
- 2. Insurance policies are construed according to the same rules of construction that apply to contracts generally. **Don's Bldg. Supply, Inc. v. OneBeacon Ins. Co.,** 267 S.W.3d 20, 23 (Tex. 2008). Interpretation or construction of an unambiguous contract is a matter of law to be determined by the court. **Coats v. Farmers Ins. Exch.,** 230 S.W.3d 215, 217 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2006, no pet.).

## B. Plain Language:

- 1. <u>Security Mut. Cas. Co. v. Johnson</u>, 584 SW 2d 703, 704 (Tex. 1979). Words in an insurance policy are to be given their plain, ordinary meaning unless the policy gives them a different meaning.
- 2. <u>Fiess v. State Farm Lloyds</u>, 202 SW 3d 744, 751 and n.30 (Tex. 2006) To determine the plain and ordinary meaning of the words of an insurance policy, Courts routinely turn to dictionary definitions.

#### C. Ambiguity:

1. National Union Fire Ins. vs. Hudson Energy Co., 811 S.W.2d 552, 555 (Tex. 1991). "Generally, a contract of insurance is subject to the same rules of construction as other contracts. If the written instrument is worded so that it can be given only one reasonable construction, it will be enforced as written. However, if a contract of insurance is susceptible of more than one reasonable interpretation, we must resolve the uncertainty by adopting the construction that most favors the insured. The Court must adopt the construction of an exclusionary clause urged by the insured as long as that construction is not unreasonable, even if the construction urged by the insurer appears to be more reasonable or a more accurate reflection of the parties' intent. In particular, exceptions or limitations on liability are strictly construed against the insurer and in favor of the insured."

#### D. Interpretations of Exclusionary Clauses:

- If the language of an exclusionary clause in an insurance policy is clear and unambiguous, the well-established rule of construction directing adoption of that construction most favorable to the insured, is not applicable. Consequently, absent ambiguity, neither party can be favored by its construction. Maryland Casualty Co. v. State Bank & Trust Co., 425 F.2d 979 (5th Cir. 1970) cert. denied, 400 U.S. 828, 27 L. Ed. 2d 57, 91 S. Ct. 55 (1970). Monte Christo Drilling Corp. v. Byron-Jackson Tools, Inc., 266 F. Supp. 123 (S.D. Tex. 1966).
- 2. The court must adopt the construction of an exclusionary clause urged by the insured as long as that construction is not unreasonable, even if the construction urged by the insurer appears to be more reasonable or a more accurate reflection of the parties' intent." Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co. v. Hudson Energy Co., 811 S.W.2d 552, 555, (Tex. 1991).

### E. Severability Clauses:

- 1. **Clause**: "This insurance applies separately to each insured. This condition will not increase our limit of liability for any one occurrence."
- 2. A severability clause generally serves to provide coverage to an "innocent" insured who did not commit the intentional conduct excluded by the policy. *Bituminous Cas. Corp. v. Maxey*, 110 S.W.3d 203, 210 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2003, pet. denied). (citing *State Farm Fire & Cas. Ins. Co. v. Keegan*, 209 F.3d 767, 769 (5th Cir. 2000)). Each insured against whom a claim is brought is treated as if he or she is the only insured under the policy, and thus, stands alone with respect to exclusion provisions. *Williamson v. Vanguard Underwriters Ins. Co.*, No. 14-97-00276-CV, 1998 WL 831476, at \*1 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] Dec. 3, 1998, pet denied.)

#### II. COVERAGE ISSUES

#### A. Eight Corners Rule

- 1) Heyden Newport Chemical Corp. v. Southern General Ins. Co., 387 SW 22 (Tex. 1965). The duty to defend is determined, regardless of the of the truth or falseness of the allegations, by reviewing the facts alleged within the four corners of the petition and the coverages and exclusions contained within the four corners of the policy.
- 2) Richards v. State Farm Lloyds, 597 S.W.3d 492 (Tex. 2020). The Texas Supreme Court addressed a certified question from the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit about whether the there is a "policy language exception" (a/k/a the Northfield Exception based on Northfield Ins. Co., 363 F.3d at 531) to the eight-corners rule if the insurance policy does not contain language requiring the insurer to defend all actions against its insured no matter if the allegations of the suit are groundless, false or fraudulent. An insurer's duty to defend is determined by the claims alleged in the petition and the coverage provided in the policy. The Court noted that insurers can contract out of the eight corners rule, but merely omitting the language "even if groundless, false or fraudulent" does not contract out of the eight corners rule. The Court notes that State Farm makes good faith arguments, but it is well aware of the courts' longstanding approach to the contractual duties to defend and it knows how to contract around that approach.
- Richards v. State Farm Lloyds, 597 S.W.3d 492 (Tex. 2020). The Texas Supreme Court addressed a certified question from the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit about whether the there is a "policy language exception" (a/k/a the Northfield Exception based on Northfield Ins. Co., 363 F.3d at 531) to the eight-corners rule if the insurance policy does not contain language requiring the insurer to defend all actions against its insured even matter if the allegations of the suit are groundless, false or fraudulent. An insurer's duty to defend is determined by the claims alleged in the petition and the coverage provided in the policy. The Court noted that insurers can contract out of the eight corners rule, but merely omitting the language "even if groundless, false or fraudulent" does not contract out of the eight corners rule. The Court notes that State Farm makes good faith arguments, but it is well aware of the courts' longstanding approach to the contractual duties to defend and it knows how to contract around that approach.
- 4) Encompass Indemnity Company v. Steele, 2022 U.S. Dist. Lexis 152823 (Northern Dist. Dallas, Aug 24, 2022). In this case addressing the duty to defend and the eight corners rule, all parties filed motions for summary judgment to determine whether the occurrence was an "accident" under the relevant insurance policies. Encompass argued that the comments to the driver to "nail it" by continuing his off-road driver was the natural result of an intentional act. Encompass also argues that the claims of negligence in the petition do not transform the intentional conduct into a covered accident. The policies do not define accidents so the ordinary meaning is "a fortuitous, unexpected and unintended event." The Texas Supreme Court and the Fifth Circuit made clear: accidents are accidents. The statement to "Nail It!" was not an intentional tort. It was only a comment to continue driving, not to eject passengers. Therefore, this was an accident and there is a duty to defend.

## B. Exceptions to the Eight Corners Rule:

3. Loya Ins. Co. v. Avalos, 610 S.W.3d 878, (Tex. 2020) The Texas Supreme Court modifies the eight-corners rule to adopt its first and only exception to the eight-corners rule that permits court to consider extrinsic evidence regarding whether the insured and a third party suing the insured colluded to make false representations of fact in that suit for the purpose of securing a defense and coverage where they such coverage and the duty to defend would not otherwise exist. If the insurer conclusively proves such collusive fraud, it owes no duty to defend. An insurer confronted with undisputed evidence of collusive fraud may choose to withdraw its defense without first seeking a declaratory





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Important Cases in the Prosecution and Defense of UM / UIM Cases Session

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2024 The Car Crash Seminar session
"Important Cases in the Prosecution and Defense of UM / UIM Cases Session"