Using Storytelling and Themes for Success in Texas Personal Injury Trials

Will Moye, *Moye Law Firm*
Houston, Texas

Introduction

Storytelling is not just for novels and movies – it is a powerful tool in the courtroom. The most successful trial lawyers, on either side of the docket, are effective storytellers who present the facts as a cohesive narrative rather than a disjointed list of evidence. In Texas personal injury litigation, where jurors must determine fault and assess damages, a compelling story can frame complex legal issues in a relatable way. This paper explores how both plaintiff and defense attorneys can use storytelling and trial themes to resonate with Texas juries, influencing how jurors perceive fault and decide on damages. We will discuss techniques to develop a persuasive theme from voir dire through closing argument, examine the cognitive and emotional impact of storytelling on jurors, and offer practical tips on demonstrative evidence, witness examinations, and expert testimony – all grounded in Texas law and jury psychology.

The Power of Storytelling and Theme in Trial Advocacy

Storytelling as Persuasion: At its core, a personal injury trial is a battle of competing stories about what happened and who should be responsible. Our job as trial lawyers is to persuade – to cause people to believe something by explaining why they should. We achieve this not merely by reciting facts, but by weaving those facts into a narrative that jurors can understand and care about. A well-told trial story has characters (e.g. the injured plaintiff, the defendant driver or company), a plot (the sequence of events leading to the injury), and a conflict (the dispute over fault and damages). Research in education and psychology confirms that information delivered as a story is far more memorable and engaging than a dry recitation of data. Storytelling matters because it helps jurors *care*, and when jurors care, they are more likely to be persuaded.

Connecting with Emotion and Cognition: Effective storytelling in trial advocacy triggers both the rational and emotional processors in jurors' minds. Neuroscience and psychology tell us that human decision-making is influenced by an interplay of cognition (logic, facts) and emotion (feelings, empathy). A narrative that resonates on a human level gives jurors a framework to emotionally connect with the case while processing the evidence. In practice, this means jurors who are moved by a story of preventable tragedy may feel a moral imperative to render a verdict that prevents such harm in the future, whereas a story of unfair blame may stir them to exonerate the defendant. Trials are won by appealing to jurors' sense of truth and justice, not just by presenting legal elements. A juror's heart and mind will align with the side that tells a more credible and compelling story.

PREVIEW

Themes: Giving Meaning to the Story: Every good story has a theme – the fundamental message that tells the jury what the trial is really about and distills your case into a memorable takeaway that jurors can hold onto throughout the trial. It gives your presentation structure, emotional weight, and moral purpose. For example, a plaintiff's theme in a personal injury case might be *"A Preventable Tragedy Caused by Profit Over Safety,"* instantly conveying that the story is about reckless choices and avoidable harm. A defense theme might be *"Not As It Seems – An Unfortunate Accident, Not Negligence,"* telling jurors that the case is about complexity and the absence of fault. The theme should be simple, clear, and consistently reinforced from voir dire through closing. Simplicity is powerful; jurors should be able to repeat your theme in the jury room and use it as a lens to interpret the evidence. Importantly, a strong theme explicitly connects three elements for the jury: 1) the Law (e.g. the rules or safety standards at issue), 2) the Evidence (the key facts that will be shown), and, 3) the Story (why it matters, often in terms of community values or justice). By aligning the legal framework with a compelling narrative, you give jurors a roadmap to reach a verdict in your favor.

Persuading on Fault and Damages: Storytelling directly aids jurors in deciding fault and quantifying damages in personal injury cases. We naturally use stories to assign blame and to respond to harm – it's how we make sense of events. A coherent narrative helps jurors determine *who* is responsible by framing causation in relatable terms (e.g. "a company that ignored multiple warning signs" vs. "an accident that no one could have prevented"). Likewise, themes can influence how jurors feel about damages: a story emphasizing the life-altering impact of an injury can motivate jurors to award full and fair compensation for that "chapter" in the plaintiff's life, whereas a defense story highlighting a plaintiff's fast recovery or exaggerated claims may lead jurors to minimize damages. Notably, plaintiff lawyers often invoke "Reptile" theory strategies that align with jurors' sense of safety to get a verdict that is not just for the plaintiff, but also the community. These strategies are powerful because they can prompt jurors to award high damages in order to send a message to deter dangerous conduct in the future. Defense counsel may counter with themes focusing on fairness and personal responsibility (e.g. "Accidents happen despite our best efforts – it's not always someone's fault"), or by trying to tamp down emotional overreactions.

Both sides should be aware that Texas courts disfavor improper appeals to jurors' passions. For instance, "Golden Rule" arguments (asking jurors to put themselves in the plaintiff's shoes) are prohibited, and recent Texas legislation even targets certain Reptile-style tactics in trucking accident cases. In 2021, Texas enacted a law requiring bifurcation of direct negligence claims against trucking companies, aiming to *"mitigate nuclear verdicts"* driven by appeals to jurors' fears. The lesson is that while emotion and theme are crucial, they must

PREVIEW

be tethered to the admissible evidence and legitimate inferences – a story grounded in facts and law will withstand objections and retain juror trust.

Juror Psychology and Decision-Making: Understanding how jurors think and decide is key to crafting a persuasive trial story. Studies show that people are swamped with information in daily life, and jurors in trial face a similar information overload if attorneys dump every fact on them without a narrative filter. Good storytelling resolves this problem by organizing information and highlighting what is important. Every additional fact or exhibit introduced is another piece jurors have to process and another opportunity for opposing counsel to distract or argue. By sticking to a focused story centered on the elements of your case, you can simultaneously manage the narrative and the jury's cognitive load. Additionally, jurors are influenced by primacy and recency – they remember best what they hear first and last. Savvy trial advocates use this psychological principle by front-loading their strongest points and themes at the beginning of opening statement and reiterating them in powerful form at the end of closing, while "burying" unfavorable facts in the middle where they are less memorable. Juror decision-making is also affected by personal biases and life experiences, which is why voir dire (especially in Texas, where attorneys have broad leeway to question the panel) is critical to uncover predispositions and frame the story early. In sum, effective storytelling aligns with human psychology: it reduces complexity, engages emotions, and provides a narrative structure that guides jurors toward your desired verdict.

Developing a Compelling Trial Theme from Voir Dire through Closing

A truly effective trial story is not confined to a single speech or witness – it is a thread woven through every phase of the trial, from the first question in voir dire to the final words of closing argument. Consistency and repetition (without being rote) are key. This section discusses how to develop and sustain your case theme and narrative through each stage of a Texas personal injury trial, and how to adjust techniques for both plaintiffs and defendants.

Voir Dire: Seeding the Story and Identifying Juror Biases

Voir dire is your first chance to introduce your case theme, albeit subtly, while also selecting a jury that will be receptive to your story. Texas practice affords attorneys a relatively expansive, open-ended voir dire process compared to many jurisdictions. Lawyers should use this freedom to connect with potential jurors on a human level and to probe for attitudes that might affect their view of your narrative. For example, a plaintiff's attorney whose theme is about corporate negligence endangering the community might ask jurors about their views on corporate safety policies or whether they believe companies often put profits over safety. This not only helps to ferret out those who strongly distrust lawsuits or sympathize with corporate defendants but also begins educating the panel on the case's core issues in story

PREVIEW

UT LAW CLE THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS TEXASLAW

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Using Storytelling and Themes for Success in Texas Personal Injury Trials

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2025 The Car Crash Seminar session
"Combining Storytelling and Themes for Successful Outcomes"